

To: Yamada, Richard (Yujiro)[yamada.richard@epa.gov]
From: Kuhn, Kevin
Sent: Fri 11/17/2017 3:10:17 AM
Subject: Re: NAS

Got it. Your calendar is relatively open tomorrow. I will call her scheduler in the morning.

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 16, 2017, at 10:08 PM, Yamada, Richard (Yujiro) <yamada.richard@epa.gov> wrote:

I should set up time to talk to her tomorrow - thanks K

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Beck, Nancy" <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>
Date: November 16, 2017 at 7:14:53 PM EST
To: "Yamada, Richard (Yujiro)" <yamada.richard@epa.gov>
Cc: "Dourson, Michael" <dourson.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: NAS

Richard,

FYI, today we had a general overview briefing from Teresa Fryberger the NAS/BEST Board Director.

She mentioned that the IRIS program is having a one day public workshop in February that will look at advances made in the IRIS program. She noted that she was working with Tina on this. She said NAS will review the "new model" and they don't expect to look at any new assessment. She noted that after the 1 day workshop, the committee will provide a consensus report to EPA within 3 months based on the workshop.

So the 2014 NAS review was based on EPA's plans for change and essentially said EPA was on a good trajectory. It seems odd to be doing a review in 2018 that is once again on plans for change, but doesn't yet produce an assessment consistent with recommendations from 2011 or 2014.

Am I missing something here? Perhaps we can chat about it.

Thanks,
Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

P: 202-564-1273

M: 202-731-9910

beck.nancy@epa.gov